

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

····				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/271,502	03/18/1999	TAKASHI HONDA	450100-4811	4228
	7590 01/17/2007 WRENCE & HAUG		EXAMINER	
	ENUE- 10TH FL.		CHEVALIER, ROBERT	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/271,502	HONDA, TAKASHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bob Chevalier	2621			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
111	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No.	ovember 2006				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
ت.ار ^ی	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
		x parto Quayro, 1000 0.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
Disposit	on of Claims					
4)🛛	4) Claim(s) <u>1-14,37-43 and 54-69</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,37-43 and 54-69</u> is/are rejected.					
.7)	<u> </u>					
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 March 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Taper Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/271,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 13-14, 37-39, 42-43, 54-55, 57-58, 60-61, 63-64, 66-67, and 69, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagami in view of Higuchi et al and Mac Cormack et al (P.N. 6,144,797) as set forth in the previous Office Action mailed out on 8/14/06.
- 3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagami (US 2002/0033888 A1) in view of Higuchi et al, MacCormack et al, as applied to claims 1, and 5, above, and further in view of Spitzer et al (US 2001/0012067) as set forth in the previous Office Action mailed out on 8/14/06.
- 4. Claims 11-12, 40-41, 56, 59, 62, 65, and 68, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagami (US 2002/0033888 A1) in view of Higuchi et al, MacCormack et al, as applied to claims 1, and 37 above, and further in view of Hong (US Patent No. 5,257,142) as set forth in the previous Office Action mailed out on 8/14/06.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding the Applicant's argument in that the proposed combination of Yamagami, Higuchi, Spitzer, and Hong indicated in the above rejection fails to disclose the claimed feature of "while the image data recorded on the first recording medium is reproduced and displayed on the display means, the selecting means allows a user to select either one image data or collective the image data recorded on said first recording medium to be transferred to said second recording medium, wherein the image data recorded on the first recording medium includes single image data, dynamic image data, an index of single image data, and an index of dynamic image data", Examiner disagrees. It is noted that, as indicated in the previous Office Action, such a feature of "reproducing the image data from the first recording medium and displaying the same on a display means while the user selects either one image or collective image data from the first recording medium to be transferred to the second recording medium" is present in the proposed combination of Yamagami, Higuchi et al and MacCormack et al indicated above. Because, when the proposed combination of Yamagami and Higuchi et al is modified in a manner to incorporate the MacCormack et al's video recording/reproducing apparatus for the reason indicated in the above rejection, such a claimed feature argued by Applicant would be present in the proposed combination of Yamagami, Higuchi et al, and MacCormack et al. Since, MacCormack et al clearly discloses the capability reproducing and displaying the image data recorded on the first recording medium while allowing the user to select one image data or collective image data recorded on the first recording medium to be transferred to the

Application/Control Number: 09/271,502

Art Unit: 2621

second recording medium. Applicant's attention is directed to MacCormack et al's claim 2.

It is further to be noted that the claimed feature of recording index data for the recorded image data also argued by Applicant is present in the proposed combination of Yamagami, Higuchi et al, and MacCormack et al. Applicant's attention is directed to Yamagami's claims 11, and 17, where it is disclosed the capability of recording image name, and attribute information with the recording of the image data.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bob Chevalier whose telephone number is 571-272-7374. The examiner can normally be reached on MM-F (9:00-6:30), second Monday off.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

B. Chevalier January 10, 2007.

PRIMARY EXAMINER